



Learning a non-native language in a naturalistic environment: insights from behavioural and neuroimaging research

Book

Published Version

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 (CC-BY)

Open Access

Pliatsikas, C. and Chondrogianni, V. (2015) Learning a non-native language in a naturalistic environment: insights from behavioural and neuroimaging research. *Frontiers in Psychology*. Available at <http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/42144/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.

Publisher: Frontiers in Psychology

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

LEARNING A NON-NATIVE LANGUAGE IN A NATURALISTIC ENVIRONMENT: INSIGHTS FROM BEHAVIOURAL AND NEUROIMAGING RESEARCH

EDITED BY: Christos Pliatsikas and Vicky Chondrogianni
PUBLISHED IN: Frontiers in Psychology



frontiers

Frontiers Copyright Statement

© Copyright 2007-2015 Frontiers Media SA. All rights reserved.

All content included on this site, such as text, graphics, logos, button icons, images, video/audio clips, downloads, data compilations and software, is the property of or is licensed to Frontiers Media SA ("Frontiers") or its licensees and/or subcontractors. The copyright in the text of individual articles is the property of their respective authors, subject to a license granted to Frontiers.

The compilation of articles constituting this e-book, wherever published, as well as the compilation of all other content on this site, is the exclusive property of Frontiers. For the conditions for downloading and copying of e-books from Frontiers' website, please see the Terms for Website Use. If purchasing Frontiers e-books from other websites or sources, the conditions of the website concerned apply.

Images and graphics not forming part of user-contributed materials may not be downloaded or copied without permission.

Individual articles may be downloaded and reproduced in accordance with the principles of the CC-BY licence subject to any copyright or other notices. They may not be re-sold as an e-book.

As author or other contributor you grant a CC-BY licence to others to reproduce your articles, including any graphics and third-party materials supplied by you, in accordance with the Conditions for Website Use and subject to any copyright notices which you include in connection with your articles and materials.

All copyright, and all rights therein, are protected by national and international copyright laws.

The above represents a summary only. For the full conditions see the Conditions for Authors and the Conditions for Website Use.

ISSN 1664-8714

ISBN 978-2-88919-639-5

DOI 10.3389/978-2-88919-639-5

About Frontiers

Frontiers is more than just an open-access publisher of scholarly articles: it is a pioneering approach to the world of academia, radically improving the way scholarly research is managed. The grand vision of Frontiers is a world where all people have an equal opportunity to seek, share and generate knowledge. Frontiers provides immediate and permanent online open access to all its publications, but this alone is not enough to realize our grand goals.

Frontiers Journal Series

The Frontiers Journal Series is a multi-tier and interdisciplinary set of open-access, online journals, promising a paradigm shift from the current review, selection and dissemination processes in academic publishing. All Frontiers journals are driven by researchers for researchers; therefore, they constitute a service to the scholarly community. At the same time, the Frontiers Journal Series operates on a revolutionary invention, the tiered publishing system, initially addressing specific communities of scholars, and gradually climbing up to broader public understanding, thus serving the interests of the lay society, too.

Dedication to Quality

Each Frontiers article is a landmark of the highest quality, thanks to genuinely collaborative interactions between authors and review editors, who include some of the world's best academicians. Research must be certified by peers before entering a stream of knowledge that may eventually reach the public - and shape society; therefore, Frontiers only applies the most rigorous and unbiased reviews.

Frontiers revolutionizes research publishing by freely delivering the most outstanding research, evaluated with no bias from both the academic and social point of view.

By applying the most advanced information technologies, Frontiers is catapulting scholarly publishing into a new generation.

What are Frontiers Research Topics?

Frontiers Research Topics are very popular trademarks of the Frontiers Journals Series: they are collections of at least ten articles, all centered on a particular subject. With their unique mix of varied contributions from Original Research to Review Articles, Frontiers Research Topics unify the most influential researchers, the latest key findings and historical advances in a hot research area! Find out more on how to host your own Frontiers Research Topic or contribute to one as an author by contacting the Frontiers Editorial Office: researchtopics@frontiersin.org

LEARNING A NON-NATIVE LANGUAGE IN A NATURALISTIC ENVIRONMENT: INSIGHTS FROM BEHAVIOURAL AND NEUROIMAGING RESEARCH

Topic Editors:

Christos Pliatsikas, University of Kent, UK

Vicky Chondrogianni, University of Edinburgh, UK

It is largely accepted in the relevant literature that successful learning of one or more non-native languages is affected by a number of factors that are independent of the target language(s) per se; these factors include the age of acquisition (AoA) of the target language(s), the type and amount of formal instruction the learners have received, as well as the amount of language use that the learners demonstrate. Recent experimental evidence suggests that one crucial factor for efficient native-like performance in the non-native language is the amount of naturalistic exposure, or immersion, that the learners receive to that language. This can be broadly defined as the degree to which language learners use their non-native language outside the classroom and for their day-to-day activities, and usually presupposes that the learners live in an environment where their non-native language is exclusively or mostly used.

Existing literature has suggested that linguistic immersion can be beneficial for lexical and semantic acquisition in a non-native language, as well as for non-native morphological and syntactic processing. More recent evidence has also suggested that naturalistic learning of a non-native language can also have an impact on the patterns of brain activity underlying language processing, as well as on the structure of brain regions that are involved, expressed as changes in the grey matter structure.

This Research Topic brings together studies on the effects of learning and speaking a non-native language in a naturalistic environment. These include more efficient or “native-like” processing in behavioural tasks tapping on language (lexicon, morphology, syntax), as well as changes in the brain structure and function, as revealed by neuroimaging studies.

Citation: Pliatsikas, C., Chondrogianni, V., eds. (2015). Learning a Non-Native Language in a Naturalistic Environment: Insights from Behavioural and Neuroimaging Research. Lausanne: Frontiers Media. doi: 10.3389/978-2-88919-639-5

Table of Contents

- 04 Editorial: Learning a non-native language in a naturalistic environment: insights from behavioral and neuroimaging research**
Christos Pliatsikas and Vicky Chondrogianni
- 07 Phonological and orthographic cues enhance the processing of inflectional morphology. ERP evidence from L1 and L2 French**
Haydee Carrasco-Ortiz and Cheryl Frenck-Mestre
- 21 An ERP study on L2 syntax processing: When do learners fail?**
Nienke Meulman, Laurie A. Stowe, Simone A. Sprenger, Moniek Bresser and Monika S. Schmid
- 38 Representational deficit or processing effect? An electrophysiological study of noun-noun compound processing by very advanced L2 speakers of English**
Cecile De Cat, Ekaterini Klepousniotou and R. Harald Baayen
- 55 Interface strategies in monolingual and end-state L2 Spanish grammars are not that different**
María C. Parafita Couto, Virginia C. Mueller Gathercole and Hans Stadthagen-González
- 72 Discriminating languages in bilingual contexts: the impact of orthographic markedness**
Aina Casaponsa, Manuel Carreiras and Jon A. Duñabeitia
- 82 Native-likeness in second language lexical categorization reflects individual language history and linguistic community norms**
Benjamin D. Zinszer, Barbara C. Malt, Eef Ameel and Ping Li
- 98 Naturalistic acquisition in an early language classroom**
Anne Dahl and Mila D. Vulchanova
- 107 As naturalistic as it gets: subtitles in the English classroom in Norway**
Mila Vulchanova, Lisa M. G. Aurstad, Ingrid E. N. Kvitnes and Hendrik Eshuis
- 117 Raspberry, not a car: context predictability and a phonological advantage in early and late learners' processing of speech in noise**
Kira Gor
- 132 In search of conceptual frameworks for relating brain activity to language function**
Mike A. Sharwood Smith
- 135 Structural brain changes related to bilingualism: does immersion make a difference?**
Maria Stein, Carmen Winkler, Anelis Kaiser and Thomas Dierks
- 142 Age of second language acquisition in multilinguals has an impact on gray matter volume in language-associated brain areas**
Anelis Kaiser, Leila S. Eppenberger, Renata Smieskova, Stefan Borgwardt, Esther Kuenzli, Ernst-Wilhelm Radue, Cordula Nitsch and Kerstin Bendfeldt

Editorial: Learning a non-native language in a naturalistic environment: insights from behavioral and neuroimaging research

Christos Pliatsikas^{1*} and Vicky Chondrogianni²

¹ School of Psychology, University of Kent, Canterbury, UK, ² School of Philosophy, Psychology and Language Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

Keywords: bilingualism, second language acquisition, immersion

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Manuel Carreiras,
Basque Center on Cognition, Brain
and Language, Spain

*Correspondence:

Christos Pliatsikas,
c.pliatsikas@reading.ac.uk

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Language Sciences,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 29 June 2015

Accepted: 06 July 2015

Published: 17 July 2015

Citation:

Pliatsikas C and Chondrogianni V
(2015) Editorial: Learning a non-native
language in a naturalistic environment:
insights from behavioral and
neuroimaging research.
Front. Psychol. 6:1009.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01009

Research on bilingualism has boomed in the past two decades. The processes by which a second language is acquired and processed has been investigated via linguistic, psycholinguistic, and neurolinguistic perspectives, focusing not only on second language (L2) acquisition and processing, but also the effects it might have on cognition and brain structure and function (Bialystok et al., 2012). More recent studies have focused on the effects of experience-based factors on L2 acquisition and processing (Dussias and Piñar, 2009); for example, several studies have increasingly focused on how L2 processing is affected by the active and continuous use of L2, or immersion, whether it becomes native-like, and which language domains are particularly affected (Dussias and Sagarra, 2007; Pliatsikas and Marinis, 2013). The present E-Book is a collection of recent studies that demonstrate the effects of immersive L2 learning in lexical, phonological and morpho-syntactic processing, while at the same time discusses the potential effects of immersive non-native acquisition on the structure of the bilingual brain.

Several studies in this E-Book have focused on morpho-syntactic processing by immersed late L2 learners. In an ERP study, Carrasco-Ortiz and Frenck-Mestre (2014) showed that highly proficient L2 learners of French with limited immersion (5–6 months) were native-like in their sensitivity of detecting verbal inflectional errors. This sensitivity was enhanced in the presence of phonological cues to the errors, but was also dependent on the L2 learner's overall proficiency. Further evidence in the domain of morpho-syntax was provided in an ERP experiment by Meulman et al. (2014), who demonstrated that immersed (5 years) late Romance learners of Dutch were native-like in detecting auditorily-presented verb agreement violations in non-finite verbs, but not gender violations. This demonstrated that there might be limits to how native-like L2 processing can be, but these limits are specific to the grammatical construction under investigation.

In two behavioral masked lexical priming experiments and in an ERP study with advanced Spanish and German late L2 learners of English, De Cat et al. (2015) showed that lexically transparent noun-noun compounds (NNCs) such as *moon dust* are processed combinatorially by advanced non-native speakers similarly to native speakers; however, sensitivity to word order violations within the NNCs was modulated by the learners' L1.

In an acceptability judgment task, Parafita Couto et al. (2015) examined the interaction between word order and focus in the context of unaccusative (e.g., *arrive*) and unergative (e.g., *walk*) verbs in Spanish in a group of English late L2 learners of Spanish with extensive naturalistic exposure to L2 input. Immersed late L2 learners accepted different word order patterns depending on the focus context; however, they failed to distinguish between unaccusative and unergative verbs, and the ability to do so was a function of the verb's frequency rather than its categorical classification on

the basis of unaccusativity. At the same time, L2 learners were less categorical in their judgments compared to monolingual speakers.

In terms of lexical recognition, in two behavioral experiments, Casaponsa et al. (2014) demonstrated that immersed balanced and unbalanced Spanish-Basque bilinguals were equally efficient in recognizing L2-specific bigrams, suggesting that bilingual immersion can lead to native-like orthographic processing; however, these effects were modulated by the participants' L2 proficiency.

Zinszer et al. (2014) tested Chinese-English bilinguals in China and in the US on a lexical categorization task and examined which L2 learner's language history variables (length of immersion, L2 training, age of L2 onset, and code-switching patterns) and language variables (e.g., native speaker agreement on picture naming) predict performance on this task. The authors reported that words with high name agreement and few alternate names elicited high performance; at the same time, immersion, age of L2 onset and code-switching patterns contributed positively to learners' performance, whereas years of L2 training had a negative impact on task performance.

The effects of exposure to naturalistic L2 input on vocabulary learning were examined in two studies by Dahl and Vulchanova (2014) and by Vulchanova et al. (2015). Dahl and Vulchanova examined whether providing naturalistic L2 exposure within a standard school curriculum influences comprehension of vocabulary in two groups of 6-year-old Norwegian-speaking children. After 8 months of exposure, the group that received naturalistic input to English outside the classroom setting but within the school context outperformed on vocabulary learning the group that was only exposed to English within the classroom setting. This suggests that increased exposure to the L2 can lead to a significant increase in receptive vocabulary at this young age even after a short period.

Vulchanova et al. (2015) examined short- and long-term memory effects of first language (L1) and L2 subtitles on text comprehension and vocabulary learning in two groups of adolescent Norwegian learners of English. Short-term effects of L1 and L2 subtitles on text comprehension were found in both groups. These effects were modulated by vocabulary knowledge in the younger group of L2 learners and by knowledge of grammar in the older L2 group. There were no long-term effects in either group on vocabulary learning as measured through

a word definition task and lexical decision task. Participants' extracurricular activities such as reading and writing in the L2, exposure to L2 media and games also emerged as significant predictors of the L2 learners' comprehension abilities.

In terms of phonological processing, Gor (2014) demonstrated that heritage English-Russian speakers (early naturalistic interrupted learners) of high proficiency in Russian, were equally efficient to native speakers of Russian in processing speech in noise. This demonstrated the early benefits of immersed L2 learning, which appear to persevere even when immersion is interrupted.

Although the existing behavioral and ERP literature appears to argue for substantial effects of immersion on bilinguals' performance, its effects on brain structure are proven more difficult to capture and describe. In an opinion article, Sharwood Smith (2014) discusses the issues in combining linguistic, psychological and neuroimaging approaches in the search for a unified theory of bilingual processing. In reviewing the neurolinguistic literature, Stein et al. (2014) argue that the reported structural effects of bilingualism on the gray matter (GM) and white matter (WM) of the brain cannot be safely attributed to the type or amount of L2 immersion, although it appears that immersion is more likely to have an impact on the WM (see also Pliatsikas et al., 2015). The effects of bi-/multilingualism on the GM are further demonstrated in a structural MRI study by Kaiser et al. (2015). In this study, possibly the first of its kind on multilinguals, it is suggested that successive L2 learning leads to more extended changes in GM compared to early simultaneous language learning. This effect persists even in individuals that learn a third language later in life, suggesting that early immersive bilingualism might lead to more effective synaptic connectivity for language learning, which in turn leads to less profound structural changes during late learning of additional languages.

Taken together, the papers in this E-book demonstrate the role and the importance of experienced-based factors, and especially linguistic immersion, for the acquisition and processing of a second or a third language. We hope that this E-book will inspire researchers to pay particular attention to the environmental factors that shape the linguistic experiences of their non-native participants, and to present comprehensive descriptions of their groups' linguistic background, including detailed information about their bi-/multilingual immersion.

References

- Bialystok, E., Craik, F. I. M., and Luk, G. (2012). Bilingualism: consequences for mind and brain. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 16, 240–250. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2012.03.001
- Carrasco-Ortiz, H., and Frenck-Mestre, C. (2014). Phonological and orthographic cues enhance the processing of inflectional morphology. ERP evidence from L1 and L2 French. *Front. Psychol.* 5:888. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00888
- Casaponsa, A., Carreiras, M., and Duñabeitia, J. A. (2014). Discriminating languages in bilingual contexts: the impact of orthographic markedness. *Front. Psychol.* 5:424. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00424
- Dahl, A., and Vulchanova, M. D. (2014). Naturalistic acquisition in an early language classroom. *Front. Psychol.* 5:329. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00329
- De Cat, C., Klepousniotou, E., and Baayen, R. H. (2015). Representational deficit or processing effect? An electrophysiological study of noun-noun compound processing by very advanced L2 speakers of English. *Front. Psychol.* 6:77. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00077
- Dussias, P. E., and Piñar, P. (2009). "Sentence Parsing in L2 Learners: Linguistic and Experience-based Factors," in *The New Handbook of Second Language Acquisition*, eds W. C. Ritchie and T. K. Bathia (Bingley: Emerald Group Publishing), 295–318.
- Dussias, P. E., and Sagarra, N. (2007). The effect of exposure on syntactic parsing in Spanish-English bilinguals. *Biling. Lang. Cogn.* 10, 101–116. doi: 10.1017/S1366728906002847
- Gor, K. (2014). Raspberry, not a car: context predictability and a phonological advantage in early and late learners' processing of

- speech in noise. *Front. Psychol.* 5:449. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01449
- Kaiser, A., Eppenberger, L. S., Smieskova, R., Borgwardt, S., Kuenzli, E., Radue, E.-W., et al. (2015). Age of second language acquisition in multilinguals has an impact on gray matter volume in language-associated brain areas. *Front. Psychol.* 6:638. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00638
- Meulman, N., Stowe, L. A., Sprenger, S. A., Bresser, M., and Schmid, M. S. (2014). An ERP study on L2 syntax processing: when do learners fail? *Front. Psychol.* 5:1072. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01072
- Parafita Couto, M. C., Mueller Gathercole, V. C., and Stadthagen-Gonzalez, H. (2015). Interface strategies in monolingual and end-state L2 Spanish grammars are not that different. *Front. Psychol.* 5:1525. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01525
- Pliatsikas, C., and Marinis, T. (2013). Processing empty categories in a second language: when naturalistic exposure fills the (intermediate) gap. *Biling. Lang. Cogn.* 16, 167–182. doi: 10.1017/S136672891200017X
- Pliatsikas, C., Moschopoulou, E., and Saddy, D. (2015). The effects of bilingualism on grey and white matter structure. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 112, 1334–1337. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1414183112
- Sharwood Smith, M. A. (2014). In search of conceptual frameworks for relating brain activity to language function. *Front. Psychol.* 5:716. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00716
- Stein, M., Winkler, C., Kaiser, A., and Dierks, T. (2014). Structural brain changes related to bilingualism: does immersion make a difference? *Front. Psychol.* 5:1116. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01116
- Vulchanova, M., Aurstad, L. M. G., Kvitnes, I. E. N., and Eshuis, H. (2015). As naturalistic as it gets: subtitles in the English classroom in Norway. *Front. Psychol.* 5:1510. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01510
- Zinszer, B. D., Malt, B. C., Ameer, E., and Li, P. (2014). Native-likeness in second language lexical categorization reflects individual language history and linguistic community norms. *Front. Psychol.* 5:1203. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01203

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Pliatsikas and Chondrogianni. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.